Marrs Gets His Wires Crossed. Sutton lost both games at the Hannaford 4th of July celebration, their opponent being the so-called Binford team, composed of St. Paul professionals, and the pick of both the Cooperstown and Binford teams.

--Reporter. Such twaddle. Certainly we have a salaried battery and they came from the same place as Sutton's

catcher. And we had one Cooperstown player. Sutton benched two of their own men to make room for two Hannaford players for no other apparent purpose than to get good" with the Hannaford crowd. Surely it was no strength to their ball club. Half truths, eh.

'Irish'' of the Binford Times refers to the Sutton ball team as having cold feet when they refused to play the first day of the fair. Of course he did not finish the story and tell how the other team violated their agreement regarding the selection of their players. Half a truth is no truth at all, "Irish."--Reporter.

Correct, when that's the case, but

Marrs evidently hasn't been reliably informed. The Binford team had made no agreement as to where it would get its players. Exchanging of players has been permissable in former years, when Sutton loaded up with Binford players. Furthermore, when did Sutton get authority to make the rules governing the base ball games at the fair? We repeat, they got cold feet.